

Gravitational energy in a small region for the modified Einstein and Landau-Lifshitz pseudotensors

Lau Loi So

Department of Physics, National Central University, Chung-Li 320, Taiwan

(Dated on 23 September 2008)

Abstract

The purpose of the classical Einstein and Landau-Lifshitz pseudotensors is for determining the gravitational energy. Neither of them can guarantee a positive energy in holonomic frames. In the small sphere approximation, it has been required that the quasilocal expression for the gravitational energy-momentum density should be proportional to the Bel-Robinson tensor $B_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$. However, we propose a new tensor $V_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ which is the sum of certain tensors $S_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ and $K_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, it has certain properties so that it gives the same gravitational “energy-momentum” content as $B_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ does. Moreover, we show that a modified Einstein pseudotensor turns out to be one of the Chen-Nester quasilocal expressions, while the modified Landau-Lifshitz pseudotensor becomes the Papapetrou pseudotensor; these two modified pseudotensors have positive gravitational energy in a small region.

1 Introduction

Gravitational energy should be positive; the proof is not easy. If the quasilocal gravitational expression is positive on a large scale that guarantees that it is positive in the small; i.e., the quasilocal small sphere approximation. Conversely a negative gravitational energy expression on the small scale implies non-positive on the large scale. Therefore the small sphere limit approximation plays a role on testing whether the gravitational expression has the opportunity to be good (positive energy) or definitely bad (negative energy).

As there is a successful proof on a large scale [1], we expect that there should exist at least one small scale gravitational expression in a holonomic frames. A good quasilocal expression should satisfy several requirements: the interior mass density, the ADM mass [2] at the spatial infinity and a positive small sphere gravitational energy like the Bel-Robinson tensor $B_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ [3]. This tensor is desired because it provides a non-negative gravitational “energy”. In vacuum the quasilocal value for the gravitational energy should be a multiple of $\frac{4}{3}\pi r^5 B_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} t^\alpha t^\beta t^\mu t^\nu$ in the small sphere limit approximation, where $\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3$ is the Euclidean volume with radius r and t^α is a timelike unit normal [4].

The present paper considers two different types of gravitational quasilocal expressions in holonomic frames. One is a modified Einstein pseudotensor which turns out to be one of the Chen-Nester four quasilocal expressions [5]. The other is a modified Landau-Lifshitz pseudotensor which is equivalent to the Papapetrou pseudotensor. Moreover, we propose a new tensor $V_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ which is a sum of certain tensors,

$S_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + K_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, which contributes the same gravitational “energy-momentum” value as $B_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ does.

2 Ingredients

Using a Taylor series expansion, the metric tensor can be written as

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(x) = g_{\alpha\beta}(0) + (\partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta})(0)x^\mu + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu^2 g_{\alpha\beta})(0)x^\mu x^\nu + \dots \quad (1)$$

At the origin in Riemann normal coordinates

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(0) = \eta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \partial_\mu g_{\alpha\beta}(0) = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$-3\partial_{\mu\nu}^2 g_{\alpha\beta}(0) = R_{\alpha\mu\beta\nu} + R_{\alpha\nu\beta\mu}, \quad -3\partial_\nu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu(0) = R^\mu_{\alpha\beta\nu} + R^\mu_{\beta\alpha\nu}. \quad (3)$$

In vacuum the Bel-Robinson tensor $B_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, and the tensors $S_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ and $K_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ are defined as follows

$$B_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} := R_{\alpha\lambda\mu\sigma} R_{\beta}^{\lambda}{}_{\nu}{}^{\sigma} + R_{\alpha\lambda\nu\sigma} R_{\beta}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu}{}^{\sigma} - \frac{1}{8} g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu\nu} R^2, \quad (4)$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} := R_{\alpha\mu\lambda\sigma} R_{\beta\nu}^{\lambda\sigma} + R_{\alpha\nu\lambda\sigma} R_{\beta\mu}^{\lambda\sigma} + \frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu\nu} R^2, \quad (5)$$

$$K_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} := R_{\alpha\lambda\beta\sigma} R_{\mu}^{\lambda}{}_{\nu}{}^{\sigma} + R_{\alpha\lambda\beta\sigma} R_{\nu}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu}{}^{\sigma} - \frac{3}{8} g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu\nu} R^2, \quad (6)$$

where $R^2 = R_{\lambda\sigma\rho\tau} R^{\lambda\sigma\rho\tau}$. In order to extract the vacuum “energy-momentum” density from the above three tensors, one can use the analog of the “electric” E_{ab} and “magnetic” H_{ab} parts of the Weyl tensor,

$$E_{ab} = C_{0a0b}, \quad H_{ab} = *C_{0a0b}, \quad (7)$$

where $C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is the Weyl conformal tensor and $*C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is its dual,

$$*C_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\lambda\sigma} C^{\lambda\sigma}{}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (8)$$

In a simple form using the Riemann tensor in vacuum

$$E_{ab} = R_{0a0b}, \quad H_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} R_{0amn} \epsilon_b^{mn}. \quad (9)$$

Certain commonly occurring quadratic combinations of the Riemann tensor components in terms of the electric E_{ab} and magnetic H_{ab} parts in vacuum are

$$R_{0a0b} R_0^a{}_0^b = E_{ab} E^{ab}, \quad R_{0abc} R_0^{abc} = 2H_{ab} H^{ab}, \quad R_{abcd} R^{abcd} = 4E_{ab} E^{ab}. \quad (10)$$

In particular, the Riemann squared tensor can be written in terms of the electric and magnetic parts as

$$R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} R^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = 8(E_{ab} E^{ab} - H_{ab} H^{ab}), \quad (11)$$

where Greek letters refer to spacetime and Latin stand for space.

The Bel-Robinson tensor in vacuum includes the “energy-momentum”

$$B_{\mu 000} = B_{\mu 0l}{}^l = B_{\mu l0}{}^l = (E_{ab}E^{ab} + H_{ab}H^{ab}, 2\epsilon_c{}^{ab}E_{ad}H^d{}_b), \quad (12)$$

where $l = 1, 2, 3$. Likewise for the tensors $S_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ and $K_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$:

$$S_{\mu 000} = S_{\mu l0}{}^l = 2(E_{ab}E^{ab} - H_{ab}H^{ab}, 0), \quad (13)$$

$$S_{\mu 0l}{}^l = -10(E_{ab}E^{ab} - H_{ab}H^{ab}, 0), \quad (14)$$

$$K_{\mu 000} = K_{\mu l0}{}^l = (-E_{ab}E^{ab} + 3H_{ab}H^{ab}, 2\epsilon_c{}^{ab}E_{ad}H^d{}_b), \quad (15)$$

$$K_{\mu 0l}{}^l = (11E_{ab}E^{ab} - 9H_{ab}H^{ab}, 2\epsilon_c{}^{ab}E_{ad}H^d{}_b). \quad (16)$$

Consequently, there is an identity between the components of $B_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, $S_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ and $K_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$:

$$B_{\mu 000} = B_{\mu 0l}{}^l = B_{\mu l0}{}^l = S_{\mu l0}{}^l + K_{\mu l0}{}^l = S_{\mu 0l}{}^l + K_{\mu 0l}{}^l = S_{\mu 000} + K_{\mu 000}. \quad (17)$$

This means that it is not necessary to obtain the Bel-Robinson tensor B_{0000} , $B_{00l}{}^l$ or $B_{\mu l0}{}^l$ for the positive “energy” requirement, the sum $S_{0000} + K_{0000}$, $S_{00l}{}^l + K_{00l}{}^l$ or $S_{\mu l0}{}^l + K_{\mu l0}{}^l$ can fulfill the same task.

Based on the above argument, we propose a new tensor $V_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} &:= S_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + K_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \\ &= R_{\alpha\mu\lambda\sigma}R_{\beta\nu}{}^{\lambda\sigma} + R_{\alpha\nu\lambda\sigma}R_{\beta\mu}{}^{\lambda\sigma} + R_{\alpha\lambda\beta\sigma}R_{\mu}{}^{\lambda}{}_{\nu}{}^{\sigma} + R_{\alpha\lambda\beta\sigma}R_{\nu}{}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu}{}^{\sigma} - \frac{1}{8}g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\nu}R^2 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

This tensor has some nice properties but is not as good as the Bel-Robinson tensor. In particular, $B_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is completely symmetric but $V_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ is not. Some detailed properties for $S_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$, $K_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ and $V_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ in vacuum are

$$S_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \equiv S_{(\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu)} \equiv S_{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)}, \quad S_{\alpha\beta\mu}{}^{\mu} \equiv \frac{3}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}R^2, \quad S_{\alpha\mu\beta}{}^{\mu} \equiv 0, \quad (19)$$

$$K_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \equiv K_{(\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu)} \equiv K_{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)}, \quad K_{\alpha\beta\mu}{}^{\mu} \equiv -\frac{3}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}R^2, \quad K_{\alpha\mu\beta}{}^{\mu} \equiv 0, \quad (20)$$

$$V_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \equiv V_{(\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu)} \equiv V_{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)}, \quad V_{\alpha\beta\mu}{}^{\mu} \equiv 0 \equiv V_{\alpha\mu\beta}{}^{\mu}, \quad V_{\mu 000} \equiv V_{\mu 0l}{}^l \equiv V_{\mu l0}{}^l. \quad (21)$$

3 The interior, ADM and gravitational energy

Three physical regions of interest for the energy of a gravitating system in general relativity are: the interior mass-energy density, the ADM mass [2] at the spatial infinity, and the gravitational field energy-momentum in vacuum. Einstein described gravitational energy by the classical pseudotensor $t_{\alpha}{}^{\mu}$ which follows from the Freud superpotential [6]

$$U_{\alpha}{}^{[\mu\nu]} = \sqrt{-g} \left(\delta_{\alpha}^{\rho} \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\lambda}{}^{\pi} + \delta_{\alpha}^{\pi} \Gamma^{\rho\lambda}{}_{\lambda} + \Gamma^{\pi\rho}{}_{\alpha} \right) \delta_{\rho\pi}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (22)$$

in a way which guarantees conservation. Such superpotentials cannot be uniquely defined, for example suppose

$$t_{\alpha}{}^{\mu} = \partial_{\nu} U_{\alpha}{}^{[\mu\nu]}, \quad (23)$$

then one can always introduce a new pseudotensor such as

$$\tilde{t}_\alpha{}^\mu = t_\alpha{}^\mu + \partial_\nu \tilde{U}_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]}, \quad (24)$$

because $\partial_\mu \tilde{t}_\alpha{}^\mu = 0$ and $\partial_\mu t_\alpha{}^\mu = 0$ they are both conserved densities. However, the interior mass density and the ADM mass energy provide restrictions for some guidance, so that one can have some more physical energy-momentum components. Consider the following generalization of the Freud superpotential

$$U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]} = \sqrt{-g} \left(k_1 \delta_\alpha^\rho \Gamma^\lambda{}_\lambda{}^\pi + k_2 \delta_\alpha^\pi \Gamma^{\rho\lambda}{}_\lambda + k_3 \Gamma^{\pi\rho}{}_\alpha \right) \delta_{\rho\pi}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (25)$$

where k_1 , k_2 and k_3 are the extra added constants. Inside matter at the origin in Riemann normal coordinates to zeroth order (where $\kappa = 8\pi G/c^4$)

$$\begin{aligned} 2\kappa t_\alpha{}^\mu &= \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{-g} \{ (k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3) R_\alpha{}^\mu - (k_1 + 2k_2) \delta_\alpha^\mu R \} \\ &= 2\sqrt{-g} G_\alpha{}^\mu \\ &= 2\kappa T_\alpha{}^\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

provided that

$$k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3 = 6, \quad (27)$$

$$k_1 + 2k_2 = 3. \quad (28)$$

To check the ADM mass, let's use the Schwarzschild metric in Cartesian coordinates:

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right) dt^2 + \left(1 + \frac{2GM}{r} \right) (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2). \quad (29)$$

The energy-momentum is

$$2\kappa P_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2} \oint U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}, \quad (30)$$

where $\epsilon_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} dx^\lambda dx^\sigma$. The associated ADM mass energy term is

$$M = - \oint \frac{1}{4\kappa} U_0{}^{[\mu\nu]} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (k_1 + k_3) M, \quad (31)$$

which generates one more constraint

$$k_1 + k_3 = 2. \quad (32)$$

Considering (27), (28) and (32), the unique solution is

$$k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 1. \quad (33)$$

Therefore only the Einstein pseudotensor or others which are asymptotically equivalent, such as the Landau-Lifshitz pseudotensor, have this property. But the evaluation of the Einstein and Landau-Lifshitz pseudotensors do not give a positive gravitational energy [7, 8]. The present paper introduces the flat metric tensor $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ along with $g_{\alpha\beta}$, then it turns out that certain modified Einstein and Landau-Lifshitz pseudotensors do have positive gravitational energy in the small region vacuum limit.

4 Modification of the Einstein pseudotensor

The modified quasilocal expression in holonomic frames [9] is summarized as

$$\begin{aligned} 2\kappa \mathcal{B}_{c_1, c_2}(N) &= 2\kappa \mathcal{B}_p(N) + c_1 i_N \Delta \Gamma^\alpha{}_\beta \wedge \Delta \eta_\alpha{}^\beta - c_2 \Delta \Gamma^\alpha{}_\beta \wedge i_N \Delta \eta_\alpha{}^\beta \\ &= -\frac{N^\alpha}{2} \left\{ {}_E U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]} + c_1 \sqrt{-g} h^{\lambda\pi} \Gamma^\sigma{}_{\alpha\pi} \delta_{\lambda\sigma}^{\mu\nu} + c_2 \sqrt{-g} h^{\beta\sigma} \Gamma^\tau{}_{\lambda\beta} \delta_{\tau\sigma\alpha}^{\lambda\mu\nu} \right\} \epsilon_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where c_1, c_2 are real numbers and $h_{\alpha\beta} := g_{\alpha\beta} - \eta_{\alpha\beta}$. When $(c_1, c_2) = (0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(1, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$, this recovers the original Chen-Nester four holonomic expressions (Note that here we take $\bar{\Gamma}^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu} = 0$ so $\Delta \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu} = \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu}$). The superpotential can be extracted from (34)

$$U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]} = {}_E U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]} + c_1 \sqrt{-g} h^{\lambda\pi} \Gamma^\sigma{}_{\alpha\pi} \delta_{\lambda\sigma}^{\mu\nu} + c_2 \sqrt{-g} h^{\beta\sigma} \Gamma^\tau{}_{\lambda\beta} \delta_{\tau\sigma\alpha}^{\lambda\mu\nu}, \quad (35)$$

where ${}_E U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]} = -\sqrt{-g} g^{\beta\sigma} \Gamma^\tau{}_{\lambda\beta} \delta_{\tau\sigma\alpha}^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ which is the Freud superpotential. Note that the $h\Gamma$ terms do not affect the results inside matter and at spatial infinity, but only the second order vacuum value. The small region results of the modified Chen-Nester expressions in compact form in RNC is

$$2\kappa t_\alpha{}^\beta = 2G_\alpha{}^\beta + \frac{1}{18} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (4 + c_1 - 5c_2) B_\alpha{}^\beta{}_{\xi\kappa} \\ -(1 - 2c_1 + c_2) S_\alpha{}^\beta{}_{\xi\kappa} \\ +(c_1 - 3c_2) K_\alpha{}^\beta{}_{\xi\kappa} \end{array} \right\} x^\xi x^\kappa + \mathcal{O}(\text{Ricci}, x) + \mathcal{O}(x^3). \quad (36)$$

Using a calculation method similar to that in [10], the gravitational energy-momentum in the small sphere limit is

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu &= (-E, \vec{P}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int \frac{1}{18} \left\{ (4 + c_1 - 5c_2) B^0{}_{\mu ij} - (1 - 2c_1 + c_2) S^0{}_{\mu ij} + (c_1 - 3c_2) K^0{}_{\mu ij} \right\} x^i x^j d^3x \\ &= -\frac{c_1}{180G} r^5 B_{\mu 000}, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

provided $c_1 > 0$ and we take the unique combination $c_1 + 2c_2 = 1$, which is the constraint from requiring the coefficient of $S_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ and $K_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ to be the same. There exists an infinite number of solutions because of the parameter c_1 . Different solutions are associated with different boundary conditions. However there is one solution with locally positive energy and a simple boundary condition which is when $(c_1, c_2) = (1, 0)$ in equation (34). It reduces to one of the Chen-Nester holonomic expressions, $\mathcal{B}_c(N)$. In detail

$$2\kappa \mathcal{B}_c(N) = i_N \Gamma^\alpha{}_\beta \wedge \Delta \eta_\alpha{}^\beta + \Delta \Gamma^\alpha{}_\beta \wedge i_N \eta_\alpha{}^\beta, \quad (38)$$

the associated superpotential is

$$\mathcal{U}_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]} = {}_E U_\alpha{}^{[\mu\nu]} + \sqrt{-g} h^{\lambda\pi} \Gamma^\sigma{}_{\alpha\pi} \delta_{\lambda\sigma}^{\mu\nu}. \quad (39)$$

The corresponding gravitational energy-momentum in a small sphere vacuum region is

$$P_\mu = -\frac{1}{180G} r^5 B_{\mu 000}. \quad (40)$$

This result shows that the vector P_μ is future pointing and non-spacelike.

5 Modification of the Landau-Lifshitz pseudotensor

The superpotential for the Papapetrou pseudotensor [11] is

$${}_PH^{[\mu\nu][\alpha\beta]} = \sqrt{-g}(\eta^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta} - \eta^{\nu\alpha}g^{\mu\beta} + \eta^{\nu\beta}g^{\mu\alpha} - \eta^{\mu\beta}g^{\nu\alpha}). \quad (41)$$

In another form it is

$$\begin{aligned} {}_PU^{\alpha[\mu\nu]} &= \partial_\beta ({}_PH^{[\mu\nu][\alpha\beta]}) \\ &= {}_BU^{\alpha[\mu\nu]} - \sqrt{-g} \left(g^{\lambda\sigma} h^{\pi\beta} \Gamma^\alpha_{\lambda\pi} \delta^\nu_\sigma{}^\mu + g^{\alpha\beta} h^{\pi\sigma} \Gamma^\tau_{\lambda\pi} \delta^\lambda_\tau{}^{\mu\nu} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where ${}_LU^{\alpha[\mu\nu]} = \sqrt{-g}{}_BU^{\alpha[\mu\nu]} = gg^{\alpha\beta}g^{\pi\sigma}\Gamma^\tau_{\lambda\pi}\delta^\lambda_\tau{}^{\mu\nu}$, “L” stands for Landau-Lifshitz and “B” refers to Bergmann-Thomson. Once again, the $h\Gamma$ terms do not affect the results inside matter and at spatial infinity, they would contribute, however, to the second order vacuum value. The pseudotensor can be obtained as

$$2\kappa t^{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\mu U^{\alpha[\beta\mu]}, \quad (43)$$

the expression in RNC is

$$2\kappa t^{\alpha\beta} = 2G^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{9} \left(4B^{\alpha\beta}_{\xi\kappa} - S^{\alpha\beta}_{\xi\kappa} - K^{\alpha\beta}_{\xi\kappa} \right) x^\xi x^\kappa + \mathcal{O}(\text{Ricci}, x) + \mathcal{O}(x^3). \quad (44)$$

The associated gravitational energy-momentum in the small sphere vacuum approximation is

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu &= \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int \frac{1}{9} \left(4B^0_{\mu ij} - S^0_{\mu ij} - K^0_{\mu ij} \right) x^i x^j d^3x \\ &= -\frac{1}{180G} r^5 B_{\mu 000}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

As mentioned previously, the vector P_μ is future pointing and non-spacelike.

6 Conclusion

In the small sphere approximation, the quasilocal expression for the gravitational energy-momentum density should be proportional to the Bel-Robinson tensor $B_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$. However, we propose a new tensor $V_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ which is the sum of the tensors $S_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + K_{\alpha\mu\nu}$ which gives the same gravitational “energy-momentum” content as $B_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}$ does.

The purpose of the classical Einstein and Landau-Lifshitz pseudotensors are to define the gravitational energy. Neither of them can guarantee positive energy in holonomic frames in the small sphere limit, i.e., B_{0000} in vacuum to second order. In order to achieve the desired expression for the gravitational energy, one may consider the modification of these two pseudotensors. We found that the modified Einstein pseudotensor becomes one of the Chen-Nester quasilocal expressions, the modified Landau-Lifshitz pseudotensor is equivalent to the Papapetrou pseudotensor; these two modified pseudotensors have a positive gravitational energy which comes from V_{0000} in the small region vacuum limit.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by NSC 94-2112-M-008-038.

References

- [1] R. Schoen and S.T. Yau, *Phy. Rev. Letters* **43**, 1457 (1979)
- [2] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner, *Phys. Rev.* **122**, 997 (1961)
- [3] L.B. Szabados, *Living Rev. Relativity*, **7** 4 (2004)
<http://www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2004-4>
- [4] L.L. So, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **25**, 175012 (2008)
- [5] C.M. Chen and J.M. Nester, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 1279 (1999).
- [6] Ph. Freud, *Ann. Math*, **40**, 417 (1939)
- [7] S. Deser, J.S. Franklin and D. Seminaea, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 2815 (1999)
- [8] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler 1973 *Gravitation* (San Francisco, CA: Freeman)
- [9] L.L. So, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **16**, 875 (2007)
- [10] L.L. So and J.M. Nester, 2006 Preprint gr/qc0612061
- [11] A. Papapetrou, *Einstein's theory of gravitation and flat space*, Proc. Roy. Irish. Acad. **A52**, 11 (1948)